

# INTER-PARLIAMENTARY CONFERENCE FOR THE COMMON FOREIGN AND SECURITY POLICY AND THE COMMON SECURITY AND DEFENCE POLICY

## CONCLUSIONS

6-7 November 2014, Rome

### **The Inter-Parliamentary Conference,**

Having regard to the decision of the Conference of Speakers of European Union Parliaments taken in April 2012 in Warsaw regarding the establishment and mandate of this Conference;

Having regard to Title II of Protocol I (and articles 9 and 10) of the Treaty of Lisbon regarding the promotion of effective and regular interparliamentary cooperation within the Union;

Cognisant of the new powers and instruments foreseen by the Treaty of Lisbon for the European Union (EU) institutions in the area of foreign, security and defence policy; being aware that the new instruments create better opportunities for the Union to wield an international influence commensurate with its political and economic weight;

Conscious of the multi-layered decision-making process in the areas of Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP); aware that effective implementation of these policies must involve numerous policy actors at both the EU and national levels; conscious of the responsibility to engage in parliamentary scrutiny at the respective levels and advance interparliamentary cooperation in the areas of CFSP and CSDP;

Aware that the evolution of the international scenario has strengthened the role of Parliaments as central actors of global decision making, with specific reference to conflicts and crises;

### **The Common Foreign and Security Policy and the Common Security and Defence Policy**

1. Congratulates the HRVP, Federica Mogherini, upon her appointment and expresses its full support to the HRVP in fulfilling her mandate; underlines the need of strengthening the co-ordination role of the HRVP on the whole of the external action of the EU. According to this vision, the challenge of the HRVP and of the EEAS is to ensure a comprehensive and global approach to the EU external dimension, by creating a credible political profile of the EU on the international scene, based on the strong and united voice of the EU materialized through tangible actions, notably the representation of the EU in the international arena;
2. Underlines that, also in view of the new and dramatic developments in international politics since the last conference, the HRVP should lead a thorough reflection on the future of CFSP and CSDP as a matter of urgency; expresses its utmost concern for the changed security landscape from Ukraine to the Middle East and Africa; calls on the HRVP to carry out as a matter of priority an assessment of the new security environment

in accordance with the mandate by the European Council in December 2013, and in close consultation with Member States and parliaments of the European Union;

3. Calls for the long due review of the 2003 European Security Strategy, to be conducted by the HRVP in full discussion with national Parliaments and the European Parliament; underlines that EU external and internal security are deeply intertwined and calls for this aspect to be duly taken into account in a new, comprehensive security strategy; remains convinced that the IPC for CFSP-CSDP should contribute to this important strategic reflection and will follow this matter closely, starting from the next Conference;
4. Stresses that for the new security strategy to be fully effective it should be underpinned by a credible Common Security and Defence Policy which requires the timely, effective, and full implementation of the conclusions of the European Council on Defence in December 2013 and, therefore, urges the new HRVP, also in her capacity as Head of the European Defence Agency, to make this a key priority during her mandate; recalls its determination to follow closely progress in this area and calls for tangible results ahead of the next European Council dedicated to this topic in June 2015; reiterates that an annual meeting of the European Council to discuss this topic as well as regular meetings of a Council on Defence could further support progress in this area;

## **Global challenges and regional crises at the borders of the European Union**

### **Mediterranean and the Middle East**

5. Recalling paragraph 24 of the European Council's conclusions of 24 October 2014, expresses "serious concern about the renewed tensions in the Eastern Mediterranean", urges Turkey "to respect Cyprus' sovereignty over its territorial sea and Cyprus' sovereign rights in its exclusive economic zone". Under these circumstances, deems it important to ensure that a positive climate be restored, so that negotiations for a comprehensive Cyprus' settlement can resume;
6. Confirms that the Mediterranean and the Middle East are areas of strategic relevance for the EU and all its Member States; underlines that the transition processes in North Africa require a steady and continuous commitment and support, and that the EU's presence and visibility must be further increased through credible offers of assistance for our Partners in the region, in the framework of the Neighborhood Policy, especially aimed at supporting internal reforms, strengthening democratic institutions, promoting sustainable and inclusive growth, fostering the role of civil society, consistently with the principle of co-ownership and combating the trafficking of human beings and illegal immigration in the Mediterranean region. To this aim expresses the need for a strong support to the new European Initiative "Operation Triton" building upon the recently successfully concluded experience "Mare Nostrum" deployed by the Italian government;
7. Urges both parts involved in the Middle East conflict to fully respect the cease-fire agreement and to continue negotiations leading to a fundamental improvement of the living conditions for the Palestinian people in the Gaza Strip through the lifting of the Gaza closure regime, and to ending the threat to Israel posed by militant groups in Gaza; invites EU Institutions and Member States to actively contribute to a comprehensive and sustainable solution enhancing the security, welfare and prosperity of Palestinians and

Israelis; encourages both parties to re-engage in negotiations for a final agreement based on the two-State solution, the only way for peace, stability and reconciliation;

8. Expresses concern for the risks of further destabilization in Libya and stresses the need to preserve its territorial integrity and national unity; reiterates that there is no military solution to the Libyan crisis; calls upon all parties to engage constructively in an inclusive political dialogue; underlines that all efforts and resources should be concentrated to supporting the search for a political solution, by fully backing current United Nations' mediation efforts;
9. Reiterates its strongest concern for the emerging security threat posed by the self-declared Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL); condemns in the strongest terms the unprecedented level of violence, brutality and human rights violations committed by ISIL and calls for the perpetrators to be brought also to international justice; underlines its concern for the threat posed by ISIL to stability and security in Syria, Iraq and to the broader region; expresses its deepest regret for the victims of gruesome assassinations and for the thousands of people discriminated and persecuted on religious, political or ethnic grounds; underlines that women bear the physical and psychological brunt of the conflict and condemns the horrendous practices of forced impregnation, human trafficking, public executions and sexual slavery in areas under ISIL control; calls for the protection of all religious and ethnic groups and for the enhancement of the EU humanitarian assistance to affected people in the region; welcomes the initiative of some States, particularly those in the region, to enhance efforts in order to counter the threat posed by ISIL and other terrorist organisations in Syria and Iraq; recalling the decisions adopted by the Council on 15 August 2014 welcomes the significant contributions made by several EU Member States to effectively tackle the military challenge posed by ISIL, through airstrikes, delivery of security equipment and training of Iraqi forces, including Kurdish peshmergas; expresses strong support to Kurdish regional authorities; invites all relevant national authorities and European bodies to cooperate closely in order to tackle the issue of foreign fighters and other extremists; calls for the full implementation by the EU Member States of UNSCRs 2170 and 2178;
10. Calls for an integrated political concept and coherent implementation of a network approach at EU level, respecting the competencies of the Member States for national home affairs policy, aimed at a campaign against ISIL involving fighting ISIL on ideological grounds by encouraging Muslim authorities to distance themselves; education and de-radicalisation programmes in the EU countries in order to raise awareness among the public and prevent potential ISIL recruits from making plans to leave the country at an early stage; combating ISIL with the rule of law, as well as cutting off its financing;
11. Underlines that instability in Syria, caused by the Assad regime's brutal war against its own people, has allowed ISIL to flourish; calls on all sides to the conflict in Syria to respect the mandate of the UN Disengagement Observer Force and ensure the safety and freedom of movement of UN troops, including those from EU Member States; asks for all sides involved to resume negotiations for a political solution to the crisis and to the growing humanitarian emergency; calls for the EU institutions and Member States to tackle the problem of refugees and of extraordinary migration flows in a spirit of efficiency and solidarity, helping neighbouring countries, from Lebanon to Jordan and Turkey, to deal with the increasing numbers of refugees and to preserve or consolidate internal stability;

12. Urges Iran as a crucial regional actor to cooperate with the international community with a view to reaching an agreement with the E3/EU+3 by 24 November 2014, clearly limiting the use of nuclear energy to civilian purposes;
13. Welcomes the peaceful, inclusive and well-prepared parliamentary elections held in Tunisia on 26 October 2014, which mark the end of a transition process that included the consensual adoption of a democratic constitution; reiterates the continued support of the EU and its Member States with regard to Tunisia's further political, economic and social stabilisation and its enhanced cooperation with the EU;

#### **Eastern Partnership: Ukraine, Moldova and Georgia**

14. Calls for stronger engagement in the Eastern Partnership countries and raises concern over worsening geopolitical situation in the Eastern European region; requires consolidated acts to stand for those fighting for European democratic values of their countries, this being the only strategic solution to guarantee security of all Europe;
15. Regards the outcome and holding of democratic and peaceful parliamentary elections in Ukraine as a clear demonstration that the majority of Ukrainians ask for stability and peace throughout, and support European perspective for, their country; calls for the democratic will of the majority to be respected and for an immediate and full implementation of the Minsk Protocol; calls on those that did not participate to recognise the democratic will of the majority of the people of Ukraine and to join the efforts for a comprehensive and sustainable solution to the conflict; calls on the international community to strengthen and unify their efforts to support Ukraine and its people on their European path;
16. Condemns Russia's military intervention in, and illegal annexation of, Crimea, that violates international law and is contrary to Russia's existing commitments and obligations including the UN Charter, the OSCE Helsinki Final Act and the Budapest Memorandum; states that "humanitarian convoys" organized by the Russian Federation to the territory of Ukraine violate the rules of humanitarian aid and reject cooperation with ICRC; reiterates its support for the sanctions adopted by the Union on those directly involved, both in Ukraine and in Russia; calls on Russia to immediately de-escalate the crisis, to withdraw its troops from Ukrainian territory and respect the full territorial integrity of Ukraine; encourages Ukraine to proceed along the path of inclusive reforms and to revamp national dialogue with a view to normalization and stability; agrees with the HRVP's Declaration of 5 November 2014 on the so-called elections in Eastern Ukraine, which states them to be "illegitimate and illegal", as well as "in breach of the letter and the spirit of the Minsk Protocol"; calls for Russia to resume its role as EU's strategic partner, in an appeased regional environment;
17. Welcomes the full and binding ratification of the Association Agreement with Ukraine as well as the historic sign of solidarity given on 16 September 2014 with the simultaneous ratification by the European Parliament and Ukrainian Verkhovna Rada; welcomes the ratification of the Association Agreement in some national parliaments of the EU and calls for the swift continuing of this process in EU Member States with the goal to complete the ratification until the Eastern Partnership summit in Riga; welcomes the establishment by the European Commission of a Support Group for Ukraine to provide

long-term assistance for political and economic reforms as well as the launch of an EU Advisory Mission for reform in the civilian security sector alongside complementary work of the OSCE Monitoring Mission; takes note of the decision to postpone the full application of DCFTA until 31 December 2015, thus providing an opportunity for further talks between Ukraine, the EU and Russia on the implementation of trade issues;

18. Welcomes the signature by the Council of the Association Agreements, establishing a Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area, with Georgia and the Republic of Moldova on 27 June 2014 and the swift ratification of their respective agreements by both countries; invites national Parliaments who have not yet done so to insert the ratification process into their agendas; takes note of the ratification process by consent started in the European Parliament with the aim of ratifying the Agreement with Moldova on 13 November and with Georgia in December;
19. Invites for close cooperation with the Eastern European partner countries to ensure a comprehensive list of deliverables in the period from Vilnius to Riga Eastern Partnership Summits, including completed ratification procedures of the Association Agreements/DCFTAs with Ukraine, Republic of Moldova and Georgia in the EU Member States; calls for consolidated support for the three countries in terms of implementation of the Agreements; suggests engaging models of cooperation for Armenia, Azerbaijan and Belarus in the areas of mutual interest as facilitated trade, energy and transport interconnections, and mobility, in terms of the visa free regime perspectives and in terms of youth and academic exchanges;

#### **EU-Afghanistan Relations**

20. Welcomes the conclusion of Afghanistan's presidential elections, marking the first democratic transition of power in the country's history; welcomes also the agreement reached to establish a Government of National Unity; emphasizes the importance of all parties in Afghanistan working together in order to achieve a unified, peaceful, democratic and prosperous future for all the people of Afghanistan; encourages the implementation of the Strategy on EU-Afghanistan relations and urges for the finalization of EU-Afghan Cooperation Agreement for Partnership and Development; supports the European Union Police Mission in Afghanistan (EUPOL) and asks for a full commitment to monitor the implementation of the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework and foster regional co-operation within the framework of the Istanbul Process;

#### **Strengthening CSDP**

21. Recalls, after the European Council of December 2013, that the EU through CSDP and other instruments has a strong role to play through its unique comprehensive approach to preventing and managing conflicts and their causes; stresses the importance of enabling the EU to assume increased responsibilities as a security provider, at the international level and in particular in its neighbourhood, thereby also enhancing its own security and its role as a strategic global actor; emphasizes the importance of cooperation between the EU and its partners, in particular the UN, NATO, OSCE and African Union, as well as strategic partners and partner countries in its neighbourhood, with due respect to the institutional framework and decision-making autonomy of the Union; supports the strong statement at the 2014 NATO Summit in Wales that confirmed that NATO and the EU can and should play complementary and mutually reinforcing roles in supporting international

peace and security while sharing common values and strategic interests; underlines the constant importance of NATO as the cornerstone of transatlantic security and calls for the strengthening of the strategic partnership between the EU and NATO; calls for stronger cooperation between the EU and NATO structures through a complementary approach and closer coordination in order to avoid duplications and challenge the new security threats with the maximum of efficiency; recognises that stronger European defence and crisis management can help enhance the security of all Allies; is convinced that strengthening CSDP indeed reinforces collective security and transatlantic links; recalls, after the European Council of December 2013, that CSDP will continue to develop in full complementarity with NATO in the framework of the strategic partnership between both organisations and in full, reciprocal autonomy, taking into account the fact that not all EU Member States are NATO Member States and that they participate under the conditions set out in their respective national constitutions;

### **Human Rights**

22. Supports EU efforts towards a new resolution for a moratorium on executions, thus further strengthening the international trend for the abolition of the death penalty; states that the promotion of women rights and the fight against gender-based violence must remain an important priority, and fosters the EU initiatives on freedom of religion or belief and the protection of religious minorities; stresses the need for an enhanced effort aimed at the implementation of UN Security Council resolution 1325/2000 and of related resolutions on women, peace and security, as well as the Council of Europe Istanbul Convention on women;
23. Calls for a coherent and strict implementation of the EU Common Position on arms exports especially with regard to non-democratic countries;

### **Development and Humanitarian Aid**

24. Welcomes the recent intergovernmental process aimed at adopting the new framework for Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in view of a global agreement on a single, integrated, overarching and universally applicable framework in the field of development; states that more awareness on food security and related issues should be raised and therefore greater visibility should be granted to the European Commission's work on food security, in order to highlight it as a priority within the framework of the definition of the Post-2015 Agenda; underlines that positive synergies with EXPO Milan 2015, whose central theme is "Feeding the Planet, Energy for Life", and with the Second International Conference on Nutrition to be held at the FAO's Headquarters in November 2014 could be created in this field;

### **Break-out sessions:**

- **Regional Stability and enlargement to the Western Balkans**

25. Emphasises that EU integration and membership provides peace, prosperity, democratic development, stability and security in a swiftly changing international environment; takes the view that enlargement remains a priority of the EU and its foreign policy and is in the long-term strategic interest of the EU and the Western Balkan countries; stresses the need to stick to the 2003 Thessaloniki commitment; points out, however, that the enlargement

policy needs to take into account the EU's own integration capacity and the genuine commitment of the Western Balkan countries to take up their responsibilities and address outstanding concerns, including good neighbourly relations; in line with Article 49 of the Lisbon Treaty which states that any European State which respects the values the Union is based on and is committed to promoting them may apply to become a member of the Union, invites EU institutions to maintain enlargement at the heart of the EU agenda and to allow all aspirant countries to advance along their EU path; welcomes the important results achieved along 2013 and 2014 by Serbia, Kosovo, Montenegro and Albania, and encourages all countries of the region to pursue the path of reforms in an unabated way;

- **The future of the EU Battlegroups**

26. Takes note of the work that has begun on improving the Union's rapid response mechanisms including the EU Battlegroups, of the discussions at ministerial level under the Greek and Italian Presidencies, and of the debate held in Athens, in the framework of the IPC, based on a proposal by the Duch delegation; recognizes that the EU Battlegroups are currently the best developed mechanism for regular and intense defence cooperation at the EU level; underlines that EU Member States could consider the use of expanding the range of operations of the Battlegroups for training and mentoring tasks as well as assessing the practical implications of the civilian-military dimension of crisis management by using Battlegroups as a test bed for a comprehensive rapid reaction capability; also calls for reflection on possible revisited common funding of Battlegroups with the objective of making Battlegroups a real deployable capability in times of need and a starting point and laboratory for specific forms of pooling and sharing (such as EATC, European Air Transport Command); recalls that even if good progress should be achieved in these areas, the usability and success of the Battlegroups relies to a great extent on the political will of Member States; looks forward to an ambitious "Policy Framework for Systematic and Long Term Defence Cooperation", which should pave the way for more coordination in Defence policies and budgets; thinks that Article 44 TEU, that states that the Council "may entrust the implementation of a task to a group of Member States which are willing and have the necessary capability for such a task", is a very useful tool for the EU to intervene in crisis scenarios with more speed and flexibility and encourages its use; underlines the need of changing the naming of the Battlegroups by including a clear reference to the CSDP priorities (first of all, creating a European capability which can operate as a force for rapid interventions and stabilization operations in the crisis areas); calls upon the High Representative, in drafting the new European Security Strategy, to include a strategy on the deployment of EU Battlegroups; deems that discussions on a different modularity, on a reform of the Athena mechanism aiming at widening the catalogue of shared costs for rapid reaction operations and on best practices in Member States' decision making processes should be further developed in the forthcoming Conference, in the framework of the European Security Strategy review; emphasises, in addition to the necessity to further develop the Battlegroups, the fundamental need to reinforce the EU's civilian crisis management structures (in particular the CPCC), bearing in mind the fact that the majority of CSDP missions are civilian ones, yet this is in no way reflected by the personnel and structural bedrock at the EEAS; decides to deal in a break-out session on Battlegroups at the IPC's next meeting in Riga in order to discuss 1) on how to deploy EU Battlegroups within the mandate of article 44 TEU, 2) on how the Athena Mechanism may be modified to address funding concerns expressed by some member states, 3) on how the authorization process for deployment in member states' parliaments may be brought into sync more and 4) on

possible ways to put Battlegroups within the framework foreseen by Permanent Structured Cooperation under the Lisbon Treaty.

- **EU-Africa**

27. Welcomes the outcome of the Fourth EU-Africa Summit in 2014, that underlined the importance of deepening the political partnership between the EU and Africa particularly in the areas of peace and security (in the framework of the African Peace and Security Architecture and African Union peace support operations), of improving socioeconomic development, of combating corruption and illicit financial flows; underlines the opportunities for strengthening trade and investment relations in the context of Africa's current phase of sustained economic growth; praises the cooperation between EU and AU missions in theatres of operation, notably in Somalia and until recently in CAR and Mali; calls on the High Representative, the Council and the Commission to step up work on possibilities to supply equipment to African partners as a much needed complement to CSDP missions in the areas of advice, mentoring and training; underlines the importance of the EU strategies for the Horn of Africa and the Sahel region as a key means of addressing the complexity of the security, governance and development challenges affecting these regions, which span the breadth of Africa; welcomes the progress made in Somalia in the framework of the New Deal Compact adopted in Brussels in September 2013 and encourages Somali Authorities to further their efforts to meet the political goals of drafting a new Constitution by the end of 2015 and holding general elections by 2016; stresses that security in Somalia is an essential prerequisite to consolidate recent political achievements and, in this regard, welcomes the valuable endeavors of the EU Training Mission in Somalia (EUTM Somalia) in providing support to the Somali national army; underlines that the crisis and the instability in Somalia and in the whole Horn of Africa have a direct impact on transnational threats such as terrorism, drugs, weapons and human beings' trafficking, which endanger the interests and security of European citizens; Observes that the Battlegroups could be usefully employed under a European flag in conflicts such as that in the Central African Republic, in order to support the extension of the CSDP Mission EUFOR RCA pending the full implementation of the UN mission MINUSCA;

#### **Ebola crisis**

28. Underlines the serious impact of the crisis provoked by the diffusion of the Ebola virus; complains the delay of international and regional responses; notes that, in some cases, the closing of borders has limited international access to affected areas and made an already difficult situation dramatically worse with negative impact on medical support and food security; praises the countries that, with focused and timely actions, managed to block the contagion's diffusion; calls for enhanced cooperation at international and EU level to provide timely and effective response to this health crisis and to prevent further spreading of the virus to neighbouring countries, also through strengthened control procedures on flights; invites competent authorities to favour a pragmatic approach to the crisis and a correct information to citizens about the Ebola virus' characteristics and ways of transmission, in order to avoid any scaremongering and discrimination;

#### **On the two Italian marines/India**



29. Reiterates its deepest concern for the two Italian marines and EU citizens detained in India since February 2012, also in view of the recent health issues affecting one of the marines; stresses that the case should be resolved in full compliance with international law, including the UN Convention on the International Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)

**Final remarks**

30. Underlines the HRVP's commitment to work closely with the European Parliament and national parliaments to ensure that EU Foreign Policy is focussed on delivering security, democracy, human rights, stability and the hope of a prosperous future for the citizens of Europe; welcomes the opportunity to work with the HRVP on further strengthening dialogue and cooperation between Parliamentarians and the HRVP on the important matters discussed at this Conference; expresses its wish to assess progress on these matters together with the HRVP at the next IPC.
31. Thanks the ad hoc Working Group on the Rules of Procedure for concluding its work and states that the Best Practices represent a further elaboration of the Rules of Procedure for the future proceedings of the Conference.





**Interparliamentary Conference for  
the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP)  
and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)**  
*Senate of the Republic, 5 - 7 November 2014*

**RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE INTER-PARLIAMENTARY CONFERENCE  
FOR THE COMMON FOREIGN AND SECURITY POLICY AND THE  
COMMON SECURITY AND DEFENCE POLICY**

**PREAMBLE**

*The Inter-Parliamentary Conference for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP), hereinafter referred to as the "Inter-Parliamentary Conference (IPC-CFSP/CSDP)",*

*In accordance with Protocol 1 of the Lisbon Treaty on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,*

*In accordance with the decisions of the Conference of Speakers of the European Union (EU) Parliaments, at its meetings in Brussels, on 4-5 April 2011 and in Warsaw, on 20-21 April 2012, establishing an Inter-Parliamentary Conference for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP),*

*Endorsing the recommendations of the Conference of Speakers meeting in Warsaw in April 2012 that the Conference of Speakers should conduct a review of arrangements for the Inter-Parliamentary Conference two years after its first meeting,*

*The Inter-Parliamentary Conference is established in the spirit of the strengthened role of national Parliaments of the EU Member States, hereinafter referred to as "national Parliaments" and the European Parliament, by virtue of the Treaty of Lisbon, more particularly in the context of interparliamentary cooperation, as per Protocol (1) on the Role of National Parliaments in the EU.*

*The Inter-Parliamentary Conference is part of the activities of the parliamentary dimension of the Presidency of the Council of the EU, undertaken by the national Parliament of the EU Member State holding the Presidency of the Council of the EU, hereinafter referred to as the "Presidency Parliament" and the "Presidency Member State", respectively.*

*Adopted the present rules of procedure at its first meeting, in Cyprus, on 9-10 September 2012.*



## **ARTICLE 1 – AIMS**

- 1.1. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall provide a framework for the exchange of information and best practices in the area of CFSP and CSDP, to enable national Parliaments and the European Parliament to be fully informed when carrying out their respective roles in this policy area.
- 1.2. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall debate matters of Common Foreign and Security Policy, including Common Security and Defence Policy.
- 1.3. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall replace the Conference of Foreign Affairs Committee Chairpersons (COFACC) and the Conference of Defence Affairs Committee Chairpersons (CODACC). Taking into account these matters dealt with by the Conference, Parliaments shall freely and autonomously decide on the composition of their delegations.
- 1.4. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference may in accordance with the procedures laid down in article 7. adopt conclusions on matters related to the CFSP and CSDP of the EU. The conclusions do not bind national Parliaments or the European Parliament or prejudice their positions.

## **ARTICLE 2 – COMPOSITION**

### **2.1. Members**

- a) The Inter-Parliamentary Conference is composed of delegations of the national Parliaments of the EU Members States and the European Parliament. National Parliaments are represented by six (6) Members of Parliament each. In case of a national Parliament consisting of two Chambers, the number of Members of its delegations shall be allocated according to their internal agreement.
- b) The European Parliament shall be represented by sixteen (16) Members of the European Parliament.

### **2.2. Observers**

- a) National Parliaments of an EU candidate country and each of the European member countries of NATO, excluding those covered by article 2.1., can be represented by a delegation of four (4) observers each.

### **2.3. The High Representative, special guests and specialists**

- a) The High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy of the European Union shall be invited to the meetings of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference to set out and discuss the priorities and strategies of the EU in the area of CFSP and CSDP.



#### **2.4. Public access to meetings**

Meetings of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall be public, unless otherwise determined.

### **ARTICLE 3 - ROLE OF THE PRESIDENCY AND ORGANISATION**

- 3.1. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall convene once every six months in the country of the Presidency Parliament or in the European Parliament in Brussels. The Presidency Parliament shall decide on this matter. Extraordinary meetings shall be held when deemed necessary or urgent.
- 3.2. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall be presided over by the Presidency Parliament, in close cooperation with the European Parliament.
- 3.3. At the beginning of each session, the Presidency Parliament shall set the timetable for the session, the order of interventions and the length of speeches which, in any case, may not exceed three (3) minutes each.

### **ARTICLE 4 - DOCUMENTATION OF THE MEETINGS**

#### **4.1. Agenda**

- a) The agenda of each meeting shall include matters relating to CFSP and CSDP, in line with the scope and role of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference.
- b) A draft agenda shall be communicated to all Parliaments no later than eight (8) weeks prior to each meeting.

#### **4.2. Other documents**

Prior to each meeting, delegations may send any documents relating to items of the agenda to the Secretariat of the Presidency Parliament. The Presidency Parliament may also draw up discussion documents for the Inter-Parliamentary Conference.

### **ARTICLE 5 - LANGUAGES**

- 5.1. The working languages of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall be English and French. Simultaneous interpretation from and into these languages, as well as from and into the language of the Presidency Member State, shall be provided by the host parliament.
- 5.2. Simultaneous interpretation into additional languages may be provided if technically possible and its costs will be borne by the relevant national delegation.
- 5.3. Documents of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall be communicated to national Parliaments and the European Parliament in English and French.



#### **ARTICLE 6 - THE SECRETARIAT**

- 6.1. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference Secretariat shall be provided by the Presidency Parliament, in close cooperation with the European Parliament and with the previous and next Presidency Parliaments.
- 6.2. The Secretariat shall assist the Presidency Parliament in preparing the documents for each meeting and in communicating them to national Parliaments and the European Parliament.

#### **ARTICLE 7 - CONCLUSIONS**

- 7.1. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference may by consensus adopt non-binding conclusions on CFSP and CSDP matters related to the agenda of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference.
- 7.2. Draft conclusions of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference shall be drawn up by the Presidency Parliament in English and French and communicated to the delegations of national Parliaments and the European Parliament during the meeting in a reasonable time before their adoption for any amendments to be submitted and considered.
- 7.3. Once the conclusions have been adopted, the Presidency Parliament shall communicate the final texts in English and French, each of these texts being equally authentic, to all delegations, to the Presidents of national Parliaments and of the European Parliament, to the Presidents of the European Council and the Commission and the High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy, for their information.

#### **ARTICLE 8 - RULES OF PROCEDURE**

- 8.1. Any national Parliament and the European Parliament may submit proposals to amend these Rules of Procedure. Amendments shall be submitted in writing to all national Parliaments and the European Parliament at least one month before meetings of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference.
- 8.2. Any amendments, which the delegations of national Parliaments and the European Parliament may propose to the Rules of Procedure, are subject to a decision by consensus, and must be in accordance with the framework set by the Conference of Speakers of the EU Parliaments.

#### **ARTICLE 9 - REVIEW OF THE FUNCTIONING OF THE CONFERENCE**

9. The Inter-Parliamentary Conference may appoint an ad hoc review committee which would, eighteen (18) months from the first meeting of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference, evaluate the workings of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference and make recommendations thereon to be deliberated upon by the Conference of EU Speakers.



**Interparliamentary Conference for  
the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP)  
and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)**

*Senate of the Republic, 5 - 7 November 2014*

**BEST PRACTICES**

- *On the acronym: as agreed at the Conference in Athens, the Inter-Parliamentary Conference on the Common Foreign and Security Policy and Common Security and Defence Policy shall adopt the practice of using the acronym IPC CFSP/CSDP.*
- *On participation to the Inter-Parliamentary Conference: the RoP state clearly that each national Parliament may designate up to six delegates and the European Parliament up to sixteen delegates from the competent parliamentary committees to participate in the Inter-Parliamentary Conference. Each Parliament shall determine the size and composition of its delegation within this quota.*
- *On the Presidency: the Presidency Parliament, in close cooperation with the European Parliament and with the preceding and following Presidencies, works to prepare the Inter-Parliamentary Conference and, when necessary, to coordinate positions, on current or urgent matters linked to the Inter-Parliamentary Conference. The Presidency will cooperate with national delegations as far as possible and any contributions are always welcome. For the sake of efficiency and in order to guarantee cost effectiveness, the Secretariat will be provided by the Presidency Parliament. The publishing of any official document should be a prerogative of the Presidency Parliament and considered on a case by case basis.*
- *On the communication and cooperation between delegations: the networks already existing (the Parliaments' representatives in Brussels, the networks in the capitals,*



*IPEX) have proven to be effective and appropriate to facilitate communication and cooperation between delegations.*

- On the quality of debates: the Inter-Parliamentary Conference has already successfully evolved by holding topical debates, working groups and breakout sessions which should be further developed as a useful tool for improving the effectiveness and quality of exchanges between delegates. The contribution from invited specialists to address the Inter-Parliamentary Conference in the framework of workshops was also positively assessed. In the same spirit, suggestions to consider shifting the balance from lengthy plenary presentations by the speakers to more time for questions and answers, in particular between delegates, should be further explored in order to ensure that each Parliament/Chamber present is able to contribute during each debate.*
- On the principle of consensus: in line with the principle of consensus no exception should be made in the decision making processes.*
- On draft conclusions of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference: it is widely agreed that the practice of circulating draft Conclusions (in English and French) in good time before the relevant meeting of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference should be continued. However, considering the need to respond to timely events and topical matters it would be artificial to set unrealistic deadlines; the principle of providing draft conclusions as early as possible ahead of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference should be followed and respected. As far as amendments to draft conclusions are concerned, they could be sent in advance or deposited during the Conference, on the basis of a deadline set by the Presidency at the beginning of the Conference*
- On the presence of the High Representative: the consistent participation of the High Representative in the Inter-Parliamentary Conference and the discussion thereafter has proven both fruitful and useful for the debate on the priorities and strategies of*





*the EU in the area of CFSP-CSDP. Dedicating a plenary session to the HR has also been judged a useful tool to raise unforeseen topical issues. The adopted conclusions by the Inter-Parliamentary Conference will be attached to the invitation sent to the High Representative to attend the following meeting, as an incentive for further discussion and commentary. Any additional input by the EEAS to the Inter-Parliamentary Conference is positively perceived by the delegations.*

- On the political groups: the Presidency has already provided space for political groups organized along the lines of the European political parties to convene informal meetings prior to meetings of the Inter-Parliamentary Conference.*
- On the communication of the related documents: the Presidency Parliament shall ensure that all documents pertaining to the Inter-Parliamentary Conference meeting for which it is responsible are made available on the IPEX website.*

